

Examiner

Interview 3	Summarv
-------------	---------

Application No. Applicant(s)
09/843,749 Wilkinson

Art Unit

	Rabon Sergent	1711	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):		
(1) Rabon Sergent	(3) W. Kenneth Wilkinson		
(2) Leander Aulisio			
Date of Interview Nov 8, 2002			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛛 applicant's representative	1	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description	on:	24.20
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reache	d. g) X was not reached. h)	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if	an agreement v	vas reached, or
Applicant will address the 112 rejections. Applicant will	differentiate the instant invention i	from Seneker et	al. by arguing
that the respective compositions have different properties).		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agree	ed would render	the claims
i) 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked. THE FORI	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LA	ST OFFICE ACT	ION MUST

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1711

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached